

Sir:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Case No. 142/003/PCT; 03-776)

`	PATENT
In re Application of: Light et al.	)
Serial No.: 09/582,492	) Before the Examiner: J. Switzer
Filed: March 6, 2002	) Group Art Unit: 1634
For: Detection of Human Papilloma Virus in Papanicolaou (Pap) Smears	) )
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached papers for the above-described patent application: Response to Communication and return postcard.
- 2. GENERAL AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE OR CREDIT FEES: Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490.
- 3. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the papers, as described in paragraph 1, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 17, 2004.

By: 🖍

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff

1. .. /634 Afr

Dated: September 17, 2004

Donald L. Zuhn, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 48,710



Sir:

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Case No. 142/003/PCT; 03-776)

**PATENT** In re Application of: Light et al. Before the Examiner: J. Switzer Serial No.: 09/582,492 **Group Art Unit:** Filed: March 6, 2002 1634 **Detection of Human Papilloma** For: Virus in Papanicolaou (Pap) Smears Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### **RESPONSE TO COMMUNICATION MAILED AUGUST 17, 2004**

Responsive to the Communication mailed August 17, 2004, and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.133, Applicants provide the following remarks regarding the substance of the Interview on July 27, 2004 between Examiner Juliet Switzer, Primary Examiner Jeff Fredman, Huw Jones of Assignee Ventana Medical Systems, Inc., and Applicants' undersigned representative.

At the Interview, Applicants' representative presented two exhibits (see attached) to illustrate that the process of labeling a full-length template by nick translation generates labeled fragments from the full-length template. Applicants' representative contended that by using nick translation, or another suitable labeling technique (such as the PCR amplification or random priming techniques described in the instant specification), to label an HPV genomic template, one of ordinary skill in the art would generate a DNA probe set comprising a plurality of nucleic acid molecules that detectably hybridize to substantially all of the full-length HPV genomic template from which the DNA probe set was generated. In other words, the DNA probe set would comprise a plurality of nucleic acid molecules that substantially "cover" the full-length HPV genomic template. Applicants' representative noted that the range of fragments generated using nick translation would depend upon

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 17, 2004.

factors such as the quality of the HPV genomic template and the amount of DNAse I added to the

labeling reaction mixture.

During the Interview, amendments to claim 1 were discussed which would clarify that the

claimed reagents comprise a plurality of genomic HPV DNA probe sets, wherein each probe set

comprises a plurality of nucleic acid molecules that detectably hybridize to substantially all of the

full-length genomic sequence of a particular HPV type. Applicants submitted an Amendment on

August 4, 2004, to incorporate the claim amendments that were discussed during the Interview.

Applicants note that no prior art was discussed at the Interview.

Applicants respectfully contend that all conditions of patentability are met in the pending

claims as amended. Allowance of the claims is thereby respectfully solicited. If Examiner Switzer

believes it to be helpful, she is invited to contact the undersigned representative by telephone at 312-

913-0001.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff

Dated: September 17, 2004

Reg. No. 48,710

2

## DNA labeling by nick translation

reagents:

DNA for labeling (concentration c > 150 ng/pf).

 $modified \ nucleotides: \ \underline{Bio}tin-16-dUTP, \ \underline{Dig}oxigenin-11-dUTP, \ conc. \ 1nmol/\mu I \ (Boehringer \ Mannheim)$ 

dNTPs (regular nucleotides): dATP, dCTP, dGTP, 0.5 mM each, dTTP 0.1 mM

NT reaction buffer 10x (0.5 M Tris pH 8, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5mg/ml BSA)

β-ME (beta-mercaptoethanol) 0.1 M

DNase (stock solution 3 mg/ml) 1:2000 diluted in aqua bidest.

Pol: Kornberg DNA-polymerase 5 U/µI (e.g. Boehringer Mannheim)

EDTA (0.5 M, pH 8.0)

SDS (20%)

for one NT reaction 5 µg of DNA is used:

$\underline{Mix}$ (V total = 50 $\mu$ l)	: <u>1 probe</u>	mix for N probes	
NT (10x)	5 μl	(N+1) * 5:	
β-ΜΕ	5 μl	(N+1) * 5:	for more than 1 probe
dNTPs	5 μl	(N+1) * 5:	pipette 19 µl to the
Bio/Dig-dUTP*	2 μl	(N+1) * 2:	DNA+H2O
DNase (1:2000)	1 μl	(N+1) * 1:	
Pol	1 μl	(N+1) * 1:	
			•
DNA+H2O	31 μl		
	=====		
	50 μl		

<sup>\*</sup>in the standard protocol Tumor DNA is labeled with Bio-dUTP, Normal DNA is labeled with Dig-dUTP

#### Pipette on ice!

incubation for 2 hrs at 15°C --> put probes on ice --> test 5 µl of the mix in an agarose electrophoresis, optimal length of DNA fragments should be between 100-1000 bp (in the mean time store probes at -20°C)

- -->if neccessary incubate longer after addition of new DNAse and Pol
- -->add 2.5  $\mu$ I EDTA (0.5 M, pH 8.0) and 2.5  $\mu$ I SDS (20%) to stop the reaction, keep the probes at -20°C until hybridization

#### Optimal fragment length after nick translation

DNA after agarose gel ===> Detection of labeled DNA by a color reaction electrophoresis after transfer to a nylon membrane

,1







